Median Household Income increased for the Maryland and Most of its Jurisdictions

There are 16 jurisdictions in Maryland which meet the minimum population threshold (65,000) that allow for single-year estimates from the American Community Survey. Below are some of the highlights from a comparison of median household income estimates between 1999 (from the 2000 Census) and the single-year American Community estimates over the 2006 thru 2015 period. All income figures and changes in income are expressed in constant 2016 dollars.

- The Great Recession, which lasted from December 2007 to June 2009, and subsequent recovery, have impacted median household incomes. Median household income, in the state of Maryland, is at its highest at \$78,845—a 3.6 percent increase from 1999 levels (See Chart 1 and Table 1.)
- Adjusted for inflation, U.S. median household incomes were highest in 1999, i.e., there was no
 higher income nationally during the 2006 to 2016 period. The national median household
 income is about 4.7 percent less than in 1999. \$2,898 less in 2016 than in 1999. (See Chart 1.)
- Given the lackluster performance in U.S. income growth, the gap between Maryland and the
 nation has increased over time. While Maryland's median household income was nearly 126
 percent of U.S. income in 1999 since then it has increased to 137 percent of the nations in 2016.
 (See Chart 2.) In 1999 Maryland's median household income was ranked third, behind New
 Jersey and Connecticut, now in 2016, Maryland's median household income is the highest
 among all the states.
- Within Maryland, of the 16 jurisdictions for which there is data, eleven jurisdictions had higher median household incomes in 2016 than in 2015. The largest percentage increases occurred in Allegany (17.7%), Prince George's (14.6%), Howard (7.7%), and Charles (6.6%). (See Chart 3.) The largest numeric increases were in Prince George's (\$11,326), Howard (\$8,650), Allegany (\$6,842) and Charles (\$5,916) counties. (See Chart 4.) St. Mary's, Wicomico, and Washington had the largest numeric and percentage declines last year.
- Between 1999 and 2016 median household income declined in five of the 16 jurisdictions. The largest percentage declines occurred in Wicomico (-9.6%), Washington (-7.3%), and Montgomery (-3.2%) counties. (See Chart 5.) These three counties also had the largest numeric declines: Wicomico (-\$5,407), Washington (-4,281), and Montgomery (-3,345). (See Chart 6.) Howard, and Prince George's counties had the largest numeric and percentage gains over the 16-year period.
- During the rise to the peak incomes between 1999 and the mid-2000s, the largest percentage increases occurred in the three Southern Maryland counties of St. Mary's (+23.5%), Calvert (+15.9%) and Charles (+11.2%). (See Table 1.)

- In general, the formerly rural areas that had become fast growing counties were hit hardest by the Great Recession and crash in housing prices. St. Mary's, Washington, and Wicomico and Calvert counties showed the largest declines from their peak income to 2016.¹
- Allegany County had the lowest median household income in the state in 2016 (\$45,606), below that of Baltimore City (\$47,350). (See Chart 7 for values and Chart 8 for values with margins of error.) Median household incomes, in both Allegany County and the City have increased between 1999 and 2016 although Baltimore City incomes increased 9.2 percent compared to Allegany County's 2.7 percent. Since 2010, Baltimore City's median household income has grown by \$5,141. Howard County had the highest median household income in the state in 2016 (\$120,941) as it did in 1999, its income rose by \$14,063 or 13.2 percent. This is the largest percentage increase among all counties; Prince George's county had the second highest at 11.8 percent. All but three of the 16 counties had higher median incomes in 2016 than in 1999.

Montgomery County had the second highest median household income in 2016 at \$99,763 followed by Calvert County at \$98,732—similar to their ranking in 1999 (although Calvert's 2016 median household income is not statistically different from Montgomery County).

¹ It should be mentioned that the decline for St. Mary's County is being measured against a peak value which occurred in 2010 (\$96,140). This 2010 value is an outlier of sorts, well above any year before or since.

ECONOMIC LABOR FORCE Percent Unemployed Labor Force Participation Rate COMMUTING TO WORK Car, truck, or van drove alone Car, truck, or van carpooled Public transportation (excluding taxicab)	2016 5.4% 68.0% 73.8% 9.0% 8.5% 4.7%	2015 5.5% 67.3% 73.8% 8.9%	2014 7.2% 68.1% 73.9%	2013 7.4% 68.6%	2012 8.3% 68.8%	2016 - 2015 Statistical Significance	Statistical S 2016 - 2014 Statistical Significance * *	Significance 2016 - 2013 Statistical Significance * *	2016 - 2012 Statistical Significance * *
Percent Unemployed Labor Force Participation Rate COMMUTING TO WORK Car, truck, or van drove alone Car, truck, or van carpooled	5.4% 68.0% 73.8% 9.0% 8.5%	5.5% 67.3% 73.8% 8.9%	7.2% 68.1%	7.4% 68.6%	8.3%	Statistical	Statistical Significance	Statistical	Statistical Significance *
Percent Unemployed Labor Force Participation Rate COMMUTING TO WORK Car, truck, or van drove alone Car, truck, or van carpooled	5.4% 68.0% 73.8% 9.0% 8.5%	5.5% 67.3% 73.8% 8.9%	7.2% 68.1%	7.4% 68.6%	8.3%		Significance *		Significance *
Percent Unemployed Labor Force Participation Rate COMMUTING TO WORK Car, truck, or van drove alone Car, truck, or van carpooled	5.4% 68.0% 73.8% 9.0% 8.5%	5.5% 67.3% 73.8% 8.9%	7.2% 68.1%	7.4% 68.6%	8.3%	Significance	*	Significance * *	*
Percent Unemployed Labor Force Participation Rate COMMUTING TO WORK Car, truck, or van drove alone Car, truck, or van carpooled	73.8% 9.0% 8.5%	67.3% 73.8% 8.9%	68.1%	68.6%		*	*	*	
Percent Unemployed Labor Force Participation Rate COMMUTING TO WORK Car, truck, or van drove alone Car, truck, or van carpooled	73.8% 9.0% 8.5%	67.3% 73.8% 8.9%	68.1%	68.6%		*	*	*	
COMMUTING TO WORK Car, truck, or van drove alone Car, truck, or van carpooled	73.8% 9.0% 8.5%	67.3% 73.8% 8.9%	68.1%	68.6%		*	*	*	
COMMUTING TO WORK Car, truck, or van drove alone Car, truck, or van carpooled	73.8% 9.0% 8.5%	73.8% 8.9%			68.8%	*	*	*	*
Car, truck, or van drove alone Car, truck, or van carpooled	9.0% 8.5%	8.9%	73.9%	74 0%					
Car, truck, or van carpooled	9.0% 8.5%	8.9%	73.9%	74 0%					
•	8.5%			7-1.070	73.4%				
Public transportation (excluding taxicab)			9.3%	9.0%	9.8%				*
	A 70/	9.0%	9.0%	9.1%	8.9%	*	*	*	
Worked at home	4./70	4.4%	4.1%	4.2%	4.2%	*	*	*	*
Mean travel time to work (minutes)	32.8	32.6	32.3	32.5	31.9		*		*
INCOME									
Median Household Income (dollars)	\$78,945	\$76,596	\$74,968	\$74,691	\$74,095	*	*	*	*
Households with earnings	81.5%	81.3%	81.7%	82.2%	81.8%			*	
Households with Social Security	28.0%	27.5%	26.9%	26.3%	26.1%	*	*	*	k
Households with Food Stamp/SNAP benefits in the									
past 12 months	11.0%	11.2%	11.6%	11.1%	11.1%		*		
POVERTY RATE									
All people	9.7%	9.7%	10.1%	10.1%	10.3%			*	k
Related children of the householder under 18 years	12.7%	13.2%	13.0%	13.6%	13.8%				k
Related children of the householder under 5 years	12.4%	12.8%	12.7%	13.3%	13.5%				k
Related children of the householder 5 to 17 years	13.4%	14.9%	13.5%	16.4%	16.1%			*	k
65 years and over	8.2%	7.3%	7.4%	8.0%	7.6%	*	*		
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE									
With health insurance coverage	93.9%	93.4%	92.1%	89.8%	89.7%	*	*	*	k
With private health insurance	74.5%	74.1%	73.6%	73.6%	73.5%		*	*	k
With public coverage	31.9%	31.5%	30.7%	28.2%	27.6%		*	*	k
No health insurance coverage	6.1%	6.6%	7.9%	10.2%	10.3%	*	*	*	k

Back to Page 1

, dai 2001110	1	tes for Maryland from the 2012 to 2016 Americ									
	Estimates					Statistical Significance 2016 - 2015 2016 - 2014 2016 - 2013 2016 - 2012					
						Statistical	Statistical	Statistical	Statistical		
	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	Significance	Significance	Significance	Significance		
SOCIAL							3		3		
EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT											
Percent high school graduate or higher	90.1%	89.6%	89.6%	89.1%	89.1%	*	*	*	k		
Percent bachelor's degree or higher	39.3%	38.8%	38.2%	37.4%	36.9%		*	*	k		
Graduate or professional degree	18.5%	17.7%	17.5%	17.1%	16.9%	*	*	*	*		
NATIVITY											
Foreign-born population	921,870	911,582	890,439	842,250	839,336		*	*	*		
Percent foreign Born	15.3%	15.2%	14.9%	14.2%	14.3%		*	*	*		
LANGAUGE SPOKEN AT HOME											
Language other than English	18.90%	18.50%	17.10%	17.00%	17.20%		*	*	*		
Speak English less than very well	7.00%	6.90%	6.40%	6.30%	6.40%		*	*	*		
HOUSING											
HOUSEHOLD SIZE											
Average household size	2.68	2.69	2.7	2.68	2.66	*	*		*		
Average family size	3.27	3.28	3.29	3.27	3.26						
VACANCY											
Vacant housing units	10.3%	10.5%	10.6%	10.1%	9.9%						
Homeowner vacancy rate	2.1%	1.9%	1.6%	1.5%	1.8%		*	*			
Rental vacancy rate	5.5%	5.6%	6.8%	7.0%	7.0%		*	*	*		
MEDIAN VALUE (in 2016\$)											
Owner Occupied Units Median Value	\$306,900	\$299,800	\$288,500	\$280,200	\$279,900	*	*	*	*		
Monthly Owner Costs (with Mortgage)	\$1,918	\$1,929	\$1,927	\$1,921	\$1,999				*		
Monthly renter costs	\$1,314	\$1,292	\$1,258	\$1,241	\$1,219	*	*	*	*		
HOUSING COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD	I INCOME (SM	OCAPI)									
35.0 percent or more for owners with mortgage	21.5%	21.8%	23.0%	23.1%	24.9%		*	*	k		
35.0 percent or more for renters	39.8%	41.3%	41.8%	42.1%	41.1%		*	*			

35.0 percent or more for renters

39.8% 41.3% 41.8% 42.1% 41.1%

An * indicates that the estimate is significantly different (at a 90% confidence level) than the estimate from 2016. An "(X)" indicates the data is unavailable.

Source: 2015 American Community Survey, Tables CP02, CP03, CP04, U.S. Census Bureau, release date September 2017

Prepared by the Maryland Department of Planning

Back to Page 1















