



Residential District Variance Petition

Petition Type: Residential District Variance

Variance Request: Type of Variance(s): Residential District Variance Petition

Zoning Regulation Section Number(s): 108.0.D.4.a.(1)(a)(ii)

Amount of variance(s) requested: A 12.6-foot reduction of the required 50-foot front setback for the construction of stairs and a landing to an existing mudroom door.



REVISED

Reason for the request:

Due to the home itself being built within the setback and the property's narrowness, shape, and slope, strict compliance with the 50-foot setback is impractical. The stairs and landing are essential for safe access to a pre-existing elevated entry door, and the encroachment into the setback is minimal. The structure was built under a valid permit and passed all required inspection but a few years later I received a notice that the stairs and landing were encroaching into the setback.

Petitioner Information

Name: Shawn Lewis

Trading As:

Address: 10676 Stansfield rd, Laurel, MD, 20723

Phone: 4104906116

Email: silewis30@gmail.com

Petitioner's Interest in the Property: Sole Owner

Representative Information

Name: Shawn Lewis

Address: 10676 Stansfield rd, Laurel, MD, 20723

Phone: 4104906116

Email: silewis30@gmail.com

Profession: Firefighter/EMT

Property Information

Property Address: 10676 Stansfield Rd, Laurel, MD, 20723

Total Site Area: 0.61 acres Use Area (if different): Tax Map: 46 Grid: 18 Parcel: 88/1

County Council District: 4 Zoning District: R-20

Subdivision Name: Yoriko Property SDP #:F-15-044

That there are unique physical conditions, including irregularity, narrowness or shallowness of lot or shape, exceptional topography, or other existing features peculiar to the particular lot; and that as a result of such unique physical conditions, practical difficulties or unnecessary hardships arise in complying strictly with the bulk provisions of these Regulations.

The property is situated on a large hill, resulting in an elevated entry from the driveway that necessitates the stairs and landing. The home itself already encroaching on the 50 foot set back in addition to the narrowness, topography, and shape of the lot make strict compliance with the 50-foot front setback impractical. Most of the house lies within the setback area, leaving no feasible alternative for safe access.

See uploaded supplemental narrative

That the variance, if granted, will not alter the essential character of the neighborhood or district in which the lot is located; will not substantially impair the appropriate use or development of adjacent property; and will not be detrimental to the public welfare.

The stairs and landing are constructed with materials matching the home, surrounded by existing landscaping, and have minimal visibility from adjacent properties. The structure does not impair neighboring properties or the character of the area. In fact, neighbors have expressed appreciation for improvements made to what was an abandoned home and an entryway that was collapsing prior to repairs being made. See uploaded supplemental narrative

That such practical difficulties or hardships have not been created by the owner provided, however, that where all other required findings are made, the purchase of a lot subject to the restrictions sought to be varied shall not itself constitute a self-created hardship.

The hardship is not self-created. The landing and stairs were built with a valid permit stating it “meets all minimum setbacks” and passed all inspections. It was only years later that a violation notice was issued. The request seeks only to correct the record and formalize an existing structure built in good faith. The entry way already had existing stairs from what my neighbors told me but they fell down years prior to me purchasing the home.

See uploaded supplemental narrative

That within the intent and purpose of these Regulations, the variance, if granted, is the minimum variance necessary to afford relief.

The requested 12.6-foot variance is the minimum required to retain the stairs and landing, ensuring safe access to the homes main entry from the driveway. The dimensions were limited by the physical constraints of the property and designed solely for function.

See uploaded supplemental narrative

Conditional Uses except where specifically provided therein or in an historic district. Nothing herein shall be construed to prevent the granting of variances in any zoning district other than to the minimum criteria established in Section 131.0.

The variance request does not relate to minimum criteria under Section 131.0. It is not for a conditional use and is appropriate for a residential zoning district.

Signatures

The undersigned hereby affirms that all of the statements and information contained in, or filed with this petition, are true and correct.

Petitioner's Signature Shawn Lewis **Date** 8/16/2025

Property Owner's Signature Shawn Lewis **Date** 8/16/2025

Process information and submittal requirements can be found on the [ProjectDox website](#)



Howard County Maryland
Department of Planning and Zoning
3430 Courthouse Drive, Ellicott City, MD 21043

(410) 313-2350

DPZ Office Use only:

Case No

Date Filed

Residential District Variance Petition To the Howard County Hearing Examiner

1. Variance Request

Section Reference No: Section 108.0.D.4.a.(1)(a)(ii)

Request (Describe):

Requesting a variance to reduce the required setback for the landing and stairs to a pre-existing exterior door at 10676 Stansfield Rd. The setback requirement is impractical due to the unique

2. Petitioner Information

Petitioner's Name: Shawn Lewis

Trading As (if applicable)

Address: 10676 Stansfield rd. Laurel MD 20723

Phone No. (W) 410-490-6116

(H)

Email Address: Silewis30@gmail.com

3. Council Information

Council for Petitioner: N/A

Counsel's Address: N/A

Council Phone No.: N/A

Email Address: N/A

4. Property Identification

Address of Subject Property: 10676 Stansfield rd. Laurel MD 20723

Property Acreage: 0.61

Election District: 6

Zoning District: R-20

Tax Map # 46

Grid 18

Parcel/Lot No: 88/1

Subdivision Name: (if applicable) N/A

Plat number and date:

5. Petitioner's Interest in Subject Property:



Owner (including joint ownership)



Other (describe and give name and address of owner and attach owner's authorization)

DATA TO ACCOMPANY PETITION

6. Variance plan:

No application for a variance shall be considered complete unless the plan indicates the required setback or other requirement, and the requested variance from the subject setback or other requirement. The submitted plans shall be folded to approximately 8 ½ x 14 inches. **The plan must be drawn to scale and must include the items listed below.**

- ☒ (a) Courses and distances of outline boundary lines and the size of the property
- ☒ (b) North Arrow
- ☒ (c) Zoning of subject property and adjoining property
- ☒ (d) Scale of Plan
- ☒ (e) Existing and proposed uses, structures, natural features and landscaping
- ☒ (f) Location and surface material of existing and proposed parking spaces, driveways, and points of access; number of existing and proposed parking spaces
- ☒ (g) Location of all building and use restriction lines
- ☒ (h) Same as (a) through (g) above, of any adjoining, confronting and vicinal properties as necessary for proper examination of the petition, or, if applicable, a copy of the subdivision plat for the community
- ☐ (i) Location of well and private sewerage easement area, if property is to be served by private water and sewer
- ☒ (j) Election district in which the subject property is located
- ☒ (k) Tax Map and parcel number on which the subject property is located
- ☐ (l) Name of local community in which the subject property is located or name of nearby community
- ☒ (m) Name, mailing address, telephone number (and e-mail address, if any) of the petitioner
- ☒ (n) Name, mailing address, telephone number (and e-mail address, if any) of attorney, if any
- ☒ (o) Name and mailing address of property owner
- ☒ (p) Floor area and height of structures, setback distances from property lines, and other numerical values necessary for the examination of the petition
- ☒ (q) Location of subject property in relation, by approximate dimension, to the center line of nearest intersection of two public roads
- ☒ (r) Ownership of affected roads
- ☒ (s) A detailed description of all exterior building materials for all proposed structures
- ☒ (t) Any other information as may be necessary for full and proper consideration of the petition

7. Variance

- (a) Describe why the application of the Zoning Regulations in question to your particular property would result in practical difficulties or unnecessary hardships in complying strictly with the bulk requirements:
1. The physical character of the property is different from the character of the surrounding properties because of its

☒ Narrowness

☐ Shallowness

☒ Shape

☒ Topography

Other (explain) Unique Shape and Layout: The unique shape and layout of the property, including its narrowness and topography, make it challenging to comply with the standard setback requirements without sacrificing essential

2. The uniqueness of the property prevents me from making a reasonable use of the property because:

Existing Structure Constraints: The existing mudroom entry and its location on the property create a need for safe and compliant access, which necessitates the placement of the landing and stairs as constructed. Alternative placements are not feasible due to

- (b) The intended use of the property, in the event the petition is granted:

If the petition is granted, the property will continue to be used as a single-family residence. The variance will allow for the retention of the landing and stairs, ensuring safe and compliant access to the entry, which is an integral part of the property.

- (c) Any other factors which the Petitioner desires to have considered:

A large portion of the house lies within the setback area, making alternative placements unfeasible. The landing and stairs passed all building inspections including footer/final inspections years ago. There was no mention of a variance for the landing and stairs at the

- (d) Explain why the requested variance is the minimum necessary to afford relief:

The requested variance is the minimum necessary to afford relief because it allows for the continued use of the existing door while maintaining compliance with safety standards and minimizing impact on neighboring properties. The landing and stairs are essential for entry and exit, and their placement is constrained by the existing structures and the shape of the

- (e) If the requested variance is granted, would it increase traffic to or from the site?

☒ No ☐ Yes, if yes, explain:

- (f) Describe in detail all means of vehicular access onto the site (i.e. width, type of paving, etc.)

Vehicular access to the site remains unchanged. The property has an existing driveway that provides adequate parking and access for residents. The driveway is paved and can

- (g) Describe the topography of the site:

The property is situated on a large hill, resulting in an elevated entry compared to the front door. This elevation difference necessitates the landing and stairs to provide safe and compliant access to the mudroom. The topography significantly impacts the layout and

- (h) Will the existing or proposed structure be visible from adjacent properties? ☐ No ☒ Yes, if yes, explain:

The landing and stairs are partially visible from adjacent properties, but they are adequately buffered by existing landscaping. The design and materials of the structures are compatible

- (i) Describe any existing buffering or landscaping:

Existing trees and shrubs provide adequate buffering for the landing and stairs, minimizing their visual impact on adjacent properties. Additional landscaping can be added if required to

8. Prior Petitions

Has any petition for the same variance, or substantially the same variance as contained herein, for the same property as the subject of this petition, been disapproved by the Hearing Examiner within twenty-four (24) months of the date of this petition? ☒ No ☐ Yes

If yes, and six (6) months have elapsed since the last hearing, an affidavit setting forth new and different grounds on which re-submittal is based must be attached.

9. Additional Material, Fees, Posting, and Advertising:

- (a) If desired, supplemental pages may be attached to the petition. The following number of petitions, plans and supplemental pages must be submitted:
 - **Original and 3 copies (application & plans)**
- (b) The undersigned agrees to furnish such additional plats, plans, reports or other material as may be required by the Department of Planning and Zoning and/or the Hearing Examiner in connection with the filing of this petition.
- (c) The undersigned agrees to pay all costs in accordance with the current schedule of fees.
- (d) The undersigned agrees to properly post the property at least fifteen (15) days prior to the hearing and to maintain the property posters as required, and submit an affidavit of posting at, or before the time of the hearing.
- (e) The undersigned agrees to insert legal notices, to be published one (1) time in at least two (2) newspapers of general circulation in Howard County, as prepared and approved by the Department of Planning and Zoning, within at least fifteen (15) days prior to the hearing, and to pay for such advertising costs; and further agrees to submit two (2) approved certificates of the text and publication date(s) of the advertisement at or before the time of the hearing.

10. Planning Board Review

The Hearing Examiner may, at its discretion, refer a residential district variance petition to the Planning Board for review and a recommendation

11. Signatures

The undersigned hereby affirms that all of the statements and information contained in, or filed with, this petition are true and correct.

The undersigned has read the instructions on this form, filing herewith all of the required accompanying information.

Shawn Lewis
Signature of Petitioner

8/6/2025
Date

Signature of Attorney

Date

For DPZ office use only:

Filing fees are \$820.00 plus \$25.00 per poster

Hearing fee: \$

Poster fee: \$

Total: \$

Receipt No.

Make checks payable to the "Director of Finance" County Website: www.howardcountymd.gov

TO SUBMIT YOUR APPLICATION, PLEASE CALL (410) 313-2350.

PETITIONER:

PROPERTY ADDRESS:

Affidavit made pursuant to the pertinent provisions of Title 22 of the Howard County Code as amended.

THE UNDERSIGNED DOES HEREBY DECLARE THAT NO OFFICER OR EMPLOYEE OF HOWARD COUNTY, WHETHER ELECTED OR APPOINTED, HAS RECEIVED PRIOR HERETO OR WILL RECEIVE SUBSEQUENT HERETO, ANY MONETARY OR MATERIAL CONSIDERATION, ANY SERVICE OR THING OF VALUE, DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY, UPON MORE FAVORABLE TERMS THAN THOSE GRANTED TO THE PUBLIC GENERALLY IN CONNECTION WITH THE SUBMISSION, PROCESSING, ISSUANCE, GRANT OR AWARD OF THE ATTACHED APPLICATION FOR A RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT VARIANCE AS REQUESTED FOR THE PROPERTY REFERNCED ABOVE.

I WE, DO SOLEMNLY DECLARE AND AFFIRD UNDER THE PENALTIES OF PERJURY THAT THE CONTENTS OF THE AFOREGOING AFFIDAVIT ARE TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY, OUR, KNOWLEDGE, INFORMATION AND BELIEF.

Shawn Lewis

WITNESS

Shawn Lewis

SIGNATURE

8/6/2025

DATE

WITNESS

SIGNATURE

DATE

WITNESS

SIGNATURE

DATE

HOW A REQUEST FOR A VARIANCE IS EVALUATED

All requests for variances are evaluated based upon the following criteria of Section 130.B.2.a.(1) through (5) of the Howard County Zoning Regulations:

- (1) That there are unique physical conditions, including irregularity, narrowness or shallowness of lot or shape, exceptional topography or other existing features peculiar to the particular lot; and that as a result of such unique physical conditions, practical difficulties or unnecessary hardships arise in complying strictly with the bulk provisions of these regulations.
- (2) That the variance, if granted, will not alter the essential character of the neighborhood or district in which the lot is located; will not substantially impair the appropriate use or development of adjacent property; and will not be detrimental to the public welfare.
- (3) That such practical difficulties or hardships have not been created by the owner provided, however, that where all other required findings are made, the purchase of a lot subject to the restrictions sought to be varied shall not itself constitute a self-created hardship.
- (4) That within the intent and purpose of these regulations, the variance, if granted, is the minimum variance necessary to afford relief.
- (5) That no variance be granted to the minimum criteria established in Section 131 for special exception uses, except where specifically provided therein or in an historic district. Nothing herein shall be construed to prevent the granting of variances in any zoning district other than to the minimum criteria established in Section 131 except as provided therein.

To be approved, a variance request must comply with all of the criteria noted above.

For an explanation of the official procedures that are followed in the processing, hearing and decision-making of a variance request, you may obtain a copy of the Rules of Procedure of the Hearing Examiner from the Department of Planning and Zoning.

Section 7: Additional Information and Justifications

Property Address: 10676 Stansfeld Rd, Laurel, MD 20723

Petitioner: Shawn Lewis

(a) Describe why the application of the Zoning Regulations in question to your particular property would result in practical difficulties or unnecessary hardships in complying strictly with the bulk requirements:

The strict application of the zoning regulations to my property at 10676 Stansfeld Rd would result in significant practical difficulties and unnecessary hardships due to several unique physical characteristics of the property. The property is situated on a large hill, which results in an elevated entry to the mudroom compared to the front door. This elevation difference necessitates the construction of the landing and stairs to provide safe and compliant access to the mudroom. The narrowness and shape of the lot further complicate compliance with the standard setback requirements. The existing layout of the house, combined with the property's topography, makes it impossible to adhere strictly to the zoning regulations without sacrificing essential functionality and safety. Without the variance, it would be impossible to provide safe and compliant access to the pre-existing exterior door, which is essential for the functionality and safety of the main entry to the home from the driveway.

(b) The intended use of the property, in the event the petition is granted:

If the petition is granted, the property will continue to be used as a single-family residence. The variance will allow for the retention of the landing and stairs, ensuring safe and compliant access to the mudroom, which is an integral part of the property. The landing and stairs are essential for providing safe entry and exit to the elevated mudroom, accommodating the unique topography of the property.

(c) Any other factors which the Petitioner desires to have considered:

1. **Compliance with Safety Standards:** The landing and stairs were constructed following proper permits and have passed all required county inspections, demonstrating compliance with safety standards. This ensures that the structures are safe for use and meet all necessary regulatory requirements.
2. **Minimal Impact:** The encroachment of the landing and stairs into the 50-foot setback is minimal. This does not adversely affect the neighboring properties or the overall character of the neighborhood. The existing landscaping provides adequate buffering, minimizing the visual impact on adjacent properties.
3. **Aesthetic Compatibility:** The materials and design of the landing and stairs are aesthetically compatible with the existing structures and the surrounding area, ensuring a cohesive appearance. Neighbors have expressed appreciation for the improvements made to the property, which had been abandoned for years prior to my purchase and renovation efforts.

(d) Explain why the requested variance is the minimum necessary to afford relief:

The requested variance is the minimum necessary to afford relief because it allows for the continued use of the existing door while maintaining compliance with safety standards and minimizing impact on neighboring properties. The placement of the landing and stairs is constrained by the existing structures and the unique shape and topography of the property. The variance sought is specifically limited to the area required for safe and compliant access to the mudroom.

(e) If the requested variance is granted, would it increase traffic to or from the site?

No, the granting of the variance would not increase traffic to or from the site. The property will continue to be used as a single-family residence, and the variance pertains solely to the encroachment of the landing and stairs. There will be no change in the existing traffic patterns or volume as a result of the variance.

(f) Describe in detail all means of vehicular access onto the site (i.e. width, type of paving, etc.):

Vehicular access to the site remains unchanged. The property has an existing driveway that provides adequate parking and access for residents. The driveway is paved and wide enough to accommodate the vehicles of the residents, ensuring safe and convenient access to the property.

(g) Describe the topography of the site:

The property is situated on a large hill, which results in an elevated entry to the mudroom compared to the front door. This elevation difference necessitates the construction of the landing and stairs to provide safe and compliant access to the mudroom. The topography significantly impacts the layout and accessibility of the property, making the requested variance essential for practical use. The slope of the hill and the positioning of the existing structures create practical difficulties in adhering strictly to the setback requirements.

(h) Will the existing or proposed structure be visible from adjacent properties?

Yes, the landing and stairs are partially visible from adjacent properties. However, they are adequately buffered by existing landscaping, including trees and shrubs, which minimize the visual impact. The design and materials of the structures are compatible with the existing house and do not negatively impact the visual aesthetics of the neighborhood. The improvements made to the property have been positively received by neighbors, who appreciate the enhanced aesthetics of what was previously an abandoned property.

(i) Describe any existing buffering or landscaping:

Existing trees and shrubs provide adequate buffering for the landing and stairs, minimizing their visual impact on adjacent properties. These natural buffers help integrate the new structures into the existing landscape and reduce any potential visual intrusion. Additional landscaping can be added if required to further enhance the privacy and aesthetics of the site, ensuring that the new structures blend seamlessly with the surrounding environment.

Section 6(p)

Title: Explanation and Justification for Variance Petition

Property Address: 10676 Stansfield Rd, Laurel, MD 20723

Petitioner: Shawn Lewis

Floor area and height of structures, setback distances from property lines, and other numerical values necessary for the examination of the petition

1. Floor Area and Height of Structures:

- Existing Structures:

- House: Approximately 1,300 sq. ft., 25 feet in height.
- Mudroom: 120 sq. ft., 16 feet in height.

- Proposed Structures:

- Landing:

- Dimensions: 4' 10"X16'
- Height: Front 5 feet; Rear 8 feet

- Stairs:

- Front Stairs:

1. Dimensions: 4 feet 10 inches wide, 5 feet high, with 8 steps

- Back Stairs:

1. Dimensions: 4 feet 10 inches wide, 7 feet high, with 13 steps

2. Setback Distances from Property Lines:

- Front Setback from Stansfield Road:

- Required: 50 feet
- Existing Distance: 37.4 feet (Utilized Howard County ECP to determine)
- Encroachment: 12 feet 8 inches

3. Additional Numerical Values:

- Lot Size: 0.61 acres (26,450.63 sq. ft.)

Section 6(s) - Detailed Description of Exterior Building Materials

Title: Detailed Description of Exterior Building Materials - Section 6(s)

Property Address: 10676 Stansfield Rd, Laurel, MD 20723

Petitioner: Shawn Lewis

6(s) Detailed description of all exterior building materials for all proposed structures:

1. Landing:

- **Material:** Pressure-treated lumber for the structural framework
- **Deck Boards:** Composite decking boards
- **Finish:** Composite boards provide a weather-resistant, low-maintenance surface
- **Color:** Composite deck boards in a color that complements the existing property aesthetic
- **Footers:** 6x6 pressure-treated posts set in concrete for stability and durability
- **Joists:** 2x8 pressure-treated lumber for robust structural support

2. Stairs:

- **Material:** Pressure-treated lumber for the structural framework
- **Deck Boards:** Composite decking boards
- **Finish:** Composite boards for treads provide a weather-resistant, low-maintenance surface
- **Color:** Matching the composite deck boards used for the landing
- **Risers:** Pressure-treated lumber
- **Railings:**
 - **Material:** Composite railings
 - **Finish:** Weather-resistant and low-maintenance composite material
 - **Design:** Simple, sturdy design with vertical balusters to ensure safety and comply with building codes

Section 6 (t)

Title: Explanation and Justification for Variance Petition

Property Address: 10676 Stansfield Rd, Laurel, MD 20723

Petitioner: Shawn Lewis

Background: I initially applied for a permit to build a large deck at my property located at 10676 Stansfield Rd, Laurel, MD 20723. The purpose of the deck was to provide space for entertaining guests and accommodating tables and chairs. Upon receiving feedback that the construction of the deck would require a variance and considering the high costs involved, I decided not to proceed with the project.

Instead, I opted to renovate a pre-existing mudroom that was falling apart. I filed a new permit for this work, clearly mentioning in the description "stairwell to exterior door." This permit was approved, and all required inspections were successfully passed, including footings and final inspections for both the mudroom and the stairs/landing.

Several years later, I received a violation notice related to the original deck permit. Despite numerous attempts to clarify the situation with the Howard County Planning, Zoning, and Inspections departments, the issue remained unresolved. Therefore, per their mandates I am now seeking a variance to address this matter formally.

Unique Physical Characteristics:

- The narrowness and shape of the property create practical difficulties in adhering strictly to the standard setback requirements. The existing layout of the house, combined with the property's topography, necessitates the placement of the landing and stairs as they are.

Practical Difficulties:

- Without the variance, it would be impossible to provide safe and compliant access to the pre-existing exterior door, which is essential for the functionality and safety of the main entry to the home from the driveway. The majority of the house lies within the setback area, making alternative placements unfeasible.

Compliance and Safety:

- The landing and stairs have been constructed following proper permits and have passed all required county inspections, demonstrating compliance with safety standards.

Minimum Necessary Relief:

- The requested variance is the minimum necessary to afford relief. It allows for the continued use of the existing door while maintaining compliance with safety standards and minimizing impact on neighboring properties.

Traffic and Visibility:

- The variance will not increase traffic to or from the site. The landing and stairs are partially visible from adjacent properties but are adequately buffered by existing landscaping.

Community Impact:

- The proposed variance will not alter the essential character of the neighborhood or district. The addition is in line with the existing aesthetic and does not impair the use or development of adjacent properties. I have actively communicated all of my projects with my neighbors, and they have expressed gratitude for renovating what was an abandoned property for several years prior.

Conclusion: I respectfully request the approval of this variance to rectify the existing violation and to ensure the continued safe and compliant use of my property. Thank you for your consideration.

[Home](#) **Building** [Fire](#) [Licenses](#) [Enforcement](#)[Search Applications](#) [Create an Application](#) [Schedule an Inspection](#)**Building B21000848:**
Residential Interior Alteration Single Family Dwelling Permit
Record Status: Completed[Add to cart](#)
[Add to collection](#)[Record Info](#) ▼[Payments](#) ▼[Custom Component](#)

Work Location

10676 STANSFIELD RD
LAUREL MD 20723

Application Details

Applicant:SHAWN LEWIS
HOME OWNER
10676 STANSFIELD RD
LAUREL, MD, 20723
Phone:4104906116
SILEWIS30@GMAIL.COM**Licensed Professional:**SHAWN LEWIS SILEWIS30@GMAIL.COM
10676 STANSFIELD RD
LAUREL, MD, 20723
Primary Phone:4104906116
Home Owner**Description of Work:**SFD/ ALTERATIONS TO SUNROOM TO INCLUDE REPLACE
ROTTED FRAMING, ADD NEW WINDOWS AND **EXTERIOR DOORS**
AND STAIRWELL (APPROXIMATELY 120 SQ. FT.)**Owner:**LEWIS SHAWN I
10676 STANSFIELD RD
LAUREL MD 20723Primary Phone:4104906116
SILEWIS30@GMAIL.COM[▶ More Details](#)

HOWARD COUNTY
DEPARTMENT OF INSPECTIONS, LICENSES AND PERMITS
3430 COURT HOUSE DRIVE - ELLICOTT CITY, MD 21043
*** THIS PERMIT MUST BE CONSPICUOUSLY POSTED ON SITE ***

Residential Interior Alteration Single Family Dwelling Permit

PERMIT NUMBER: B21000848

APPLICATION DATE: 3/8/2021

ISSUE DATE: 4/15/2021

SITE ADDRESS:

10676 STANSFIELD RD
LAUREL, MD 20723

PROPERTY OWNER INFO:

LEWIS SHAWN I
10676 STANSFIELD RD
LAUREL, MD 20723
Phone #: 410-490-6116

Subdivision: Yoriko Property

Lot No.: 1 **Tax Map:** 46 **Grid:** 46-18

ADC Map: 5052-J9 **SDP No.:** **Zoning:** R-20 **Census Tract:** 606802

DESCRIPTION OF WORK:

SFD/ ALTERATIONS TO SUNROOM TO INCLUDE REPLACE ROTTED FRAMING, ADD NEW WINDOWS AND EXTERIOR DOOR AND STAIRWELL (APPROXIMATELY 120 SQ. FT.)

PRIMARY CONTRACTOR INFO:

Contractor License No.: 0

License Address: HOME OWNER
SHAWN LEWIS

10676 STANSFIELD RD
Phone #: LAUREL, MD 20723
410-490-6116

PRIMARY CONTACT INFO:

Contact Type: CONTACT
HOME OWNER

10676 STANSFIELD RD
LAUREL, MD 20723

Phone #: 410-490-6116

Building/Lot Characteristics

Legal Description: IMPSLOT 1 .6091 A. & IM[]10676 STANSFIELD RD[]YORIKO PROP

Existing Use:

Water Supply:

Height:

Sewage Disposal:

Basement:

SF # of Bedrooms:

SF # of Full Baths:

SF # of Half Baths:

Zoning Setback Requirements:

Front -	Proposed:	Required:
Rear -	Proposed:	Required:
Side -	Proposed:	Required:
Side Street -	Proposed:	Required:

Permit Fees:

Total Fees Invoiced:	\$80.00
Total Fees Paid:	\$80.00
Balance Due:	\$0.00

Meets Minimum Required Setbacks?: Yes **Lot Coverage for NT Zoning:**

To schedule an inspection or check the results of an inspection please call (410) 313-3800

APPROVED BY THE DIRECTOR OF INSPECTIONS, LICENSES AND PERMITS - BUILDING OFFICIAL